

This document is for Duane Morris defamation attorneys, on the plaintiff side, and for Duane Morris partners and associates in general. It will be CC'd to outside attorneys and news media as well. The latest version will usually be, in addition, on the Web at this link:

<https://roberteassa.com/>

---

### **Explanation.**

A Duane Morris attorney named Robert Eassa, in the San Francisco office, CA SBN 107970, age 72 in November, is seeking to initiate a defamation action. If you're a defamation attorney on the plaintiff side, you're invited to offer an assessment and other assistance to Mr. Eassa. He can be reached at 415-957-3091 or RDEassa@duanemorris.com

The defamation action would be directed at this writer. In other words, I'd be the defendant. I've offered to help Mr. Eassa to find an attorney to sue me. I try to be helpful.

Other parties at Duane Morris are being contacted as the lead-up to service of a demand letter on individuals in leadership, a letter related to the conduct of an attorney, Robert Eassa, who is unable to cease repeating statements which suggest that Mr. Eassa supports physical child abuse, the beating of women, sexual misconduct related to children, up to and including the masturbation of a 9-year-old boy, and the idea that Black people are, to quote a legal case that Mr. Eassa has commented on repeatedly, "smelly".

Mr. Eassa has made such statements, not simply once, but continuously in some periods, over and over again, to the point that he's believed to be in a medical state known as MCI. MCI is a precursor to senile dementia. MoCA, MMSE, and Lumipulse G Plasma Ratio tests are recommended.



However, as long as Mr. Eassa hasn't been formally determined to be incompetent, and is able to cover the costs discussed below, the defamation action isn't ruled out.

The defamation action would be based on previous drafts of this document; i.e., the same document that you're reading. In fact, Mr. Eassa has requested that I notify him when this document is "published" so that he can prepare such an action.

I've explained to Robert Eassa that, from a legal perspective, material is "published" as soon as it's emailed to third parties. Mr. Eassa doesn't seem to be educated in defamation basics. So, he's unlikely to attempt to initiate such an action without representation.

It should be noted that Duane Morris is unlikely to cover the \$100,000 to \$150,000 that the proposed action might cost, that Mr. Eassa will lose such an action as every significant statement of fact is believed to be true, and that in the unlikely event of a win for Mr. Eassa, he won't be awarded more than a fraction of what the case will cost him. There is the likelihood, too, that Mr. Eassa will lose anti-SLAPP and will need to pay out six figures to me. It's a pleasant thought.



As a separate issue, for the attention of Duane Morris as a whole:

A demand letter was mentioned above. It's believed that failure of individuals in Duane Morris leadership to

respond to that letter, combined with proof of service, will be sufficient grounds to permit State Bar filings related to those individuals as well as Mr. Eassa to be made. Technical note: As there is no litigation yet, this writer is using the terms “service” and “proof of service” loosely.

---

### Organization of document.

The rest of this document is in three parts:

**Part 1** below is an introduction that provides context.

**Part 2** below is the latest draft of a letter that has been, and will be, sent to Robert Eassa’s peers and personal associates and entered into Google as well. The defamation action that Mr. Eassa proposes would be based on past or present versions of that letter.

Defamation plaintiff attorneys will need to contact Robert Eassa to obtain copies of the exact version that Mr. Eassa would like to base a defamation action on.

Regrettably, there is a complication. Mr. Eassa has never once, in this writer’s recollection, pointed out or objected to a single significant statement of fact as being false. For a defamation action to succeed, at least one such statement needs to be identified.

The appropriate comment to defamation plaintiff attorneys is, “good luck with that”. My own feeling is that, if the matter gets to Court, Mr. Eassa is “f\*cked”. However, to coin a phrase, “you be the judge”.

Other types of actions such as “false light” might be possible without the required element. However, such actions are unlikely to succeed.

**Part 3** below is a letter to Mr. Eassa himself. Future iterations of this document will be expanded so as to include more in the way of email exchanges.

---

### Part 1. Introduction.

Robert D. Eassa is an attorney who works on cover-ups for corporations. This isn’t his full story, but it’s accurate enough in some contexts.

Mr. Eassa was assigned to frighten me into not speaking about systemic issues related to harm to children. This is routine. Big Law firms have a specific script for it. I’ve been involved in attempted gag order cases for a decade and a half. I could tell you each step in the script from start to finish.

The script isn’t legal. I pointed out this out to Mr. Eassa. He “STFU” promptly about his demands. Most attorneys do so when you get down to conduct rules.



However, Mr. Eassa and I still have a few points to work out. It isn’t litigation yet. It’s supposed to be a civil and professional exchange.

So far, that is all fine. But Mr. Eassa seems to be in cognitive decline, a medical condition known as MCI, and has he’s had what appears to be a breakdown as well. The most visible sign is that he’s taken to making repeated and unusual, even continuous at times, statements in support of child abuse, violence against women, and adult-child sexual activities.

This writer isn’t a doctor and doesn’t play one on TV. 1980s reference. However, reasonable people of all back-

grounds will agree that Mr. Eassa is, to use the correct technical term, bonkers.

Attorneys are expected to put the interests of their clients first. Robert Eassa has not, in general, done so, and his current conduct is likely to prove to be embarrassing both to his clients and to Duane Morris.

It's true that a single remark can be misunderstood or taken out of context. However, Mr. Eassa has been fixated for weeks on expressions of support for those who engage in child abuse, the beating of women, and sexual abuse of different types. He's doubled down, doubled down again, and doubled down after that.

This letter is prompted in part by one recent 24-hour period in which Mr. Eassa seemed unable to focus on anything else. He was still at it at 11:00pm local time.

I've dealt with attorneys who are mentally ill, drug users, alcoholics, barratry trolls, sexual predators, the gamut of attorney conduct. One attorney once nibbled romantically on my right ear just to see how an autistic would react. But Robert Eassa is a "headlines" type attorney. He's respectable. And he's come across for months as literally insane.

I've seen a lot, but never something like this.

I'm sympathetic to Mr. Eassa's medical situation. I've offered him the advice that I can. But I don't need to accommodate this. Mr. Eassa's firm, Duane Morris, will need to remove him or explain to the State Bar[s] why they're accommodating this conduct.

The question of whether or not it's appropriate for an attorney who is so clearly in mental decline to retain a license will be discussed directly with the State Bar[s].

---

## **Part 2. For Robert Eassa's peers and personal associates.**

To discuss child abuse figure Robert Eassa:

This letter, possibly with further tweaks, will be copied to a number of individuals and entities. It'll take a while. Patience is a virtue.

This is part of the lead-up to service of a printed demand letter on Duane Morris leadership. The point of the demand letter will be to make it impossible for individuals in leadership to disclaim personal awareness of Mr. Eassa's child related conduct.

I'll address a technical point first:

Mr. Eassa has recently referred to "an injunction [that was] issued against you" [i.e., me]. It isn't the first time that he's offered such a reference.

In fact, no "injunction" has ever been issued against me save for TRO. TRO was without specific and relevant allegations of any type. I've never lost or even settled a case in Court.

Repeat, not even a settlement in the Court's jurisdiction. In the most recent cases, 2022 to 2024, Opposing Counsel simply quit one day without telling us. She



didn't even want a settlement that I'd offered. It was just over and the b\*tches got nothing. Except for legal fees that totaled \$250,000 to \$300,000.

This was subsequent to me having Opposing Counsel's romantic partner served [accidentally] with a polite letter which explained how the next case was going to go for Opposing Counsel and discussed the implications for her career. But perhaps that was a coincidence.

I should add that one key allegation in those cases, a basis for TRO that Mr. Eassa has mentioned, was that "everyone knows TV detectives are frightening".

Is that true? I hadn't realized it.

Opposing Counsel had sued Fox Television's former Reality P.I. due in part to a disclosure that her clients considered ethnic minorities to be, quote, "smelly". I was dragged into it because I'd analyzed evidence, found that fraud had occurred, and posted the evidence.

So, sure, tears are rolling down my cheeks at the point Mr. Eassa has made. Disclaimer: Not really.



Is anybody reading this a person of color? If you offer support to Mr. Eassa, it's the same as saying he's right that it's all right to refer to people of color as, quote, "smelly". Is the point too blunt? As the kids say, deal with it.

I'm done, at least when discussing or dealing with attorneys, with mincing in a dainty manner around the facts. Mr. Eassa's statements aren't going to go away. The facts will obviously be connected as well, to be clear, to any party who'd like to offer this piece of work support.

One can't have it both ways. Either it is, or it isn't, appropriate to refer to minorities in derogatory terms and to target them for fraud. Which is it? Speak up.

In fact, racism is no longer acceptable. And this is the era of "#metoo" as well. "#metoo" has gone too far in some cases. But it's likely to be more than enough to end Mr. Eassa's career and his involvement in community projects.

Robert Eassa's latest remarks quintuple down on his support for violent child abuse, the sexual abuse of children, and violence against women. It's really odd.

It seems unlikely that even a conservative State Bar will permit Mr. Eassa to remain involved in a matter where he's disclosed pro-child abuse positions a dozen times. There might be sufficient justification to suspend his license as well.

In short, certainly Mr. Eassa's professional and personal reputation and possibly his career as well are ended.

Mr. Eassa has handled his likely medical condition, MCI, so poorly that he has turned himself not simply into a comical figure but into what could be described as a "f\*ck toy" for the enjoyment of any attorney or even any experienced layperson who understands what's going on in his head.

I've explained to Mr. Eassa, patiently as one does to a mentally disabled person, how it will go if he tries for a gag order related to conduct that a reasonable person will find to be unsettling.



Or if he tries for a defamation order subsequent to statements by me related to the pleasure he exhibits when he talks about violence by a man against a woman or a child.



The short version is that Mr. Eassa has thrown away all of his realistic options.

There is certainly cause now not simply to speak about the support that Robert Eassa has offered a dozen times for violent abusers but to seek to learn if he personally has engaged in violence against children past or present in his personal circle or he personally has beaten up a woman.

Mr. Eassa's apparent mental decline has gone far enough that he seems to have no boundaries at all.

A reasonable person will agree, in Court or other venues, his statements go so far as to raise the question of whether or not he himself has engaged in sexual activities with children in addition to the possible violence discussed above.

I'll need to work unexpectedly on multiple threads in the Duane Morris-Chevron matter now:

- \* I'll need to serve Duane Morris leadership with a demand letter related to Mr. Eassa's repeated support of child abuse and violence against women.

Service on Duane Morris leadership will need to be at residences, and possibly filmed as is perfectly legal, so as to make it impossible for individuals in leadership to disclaim awareness of Mr. Eassa's fixation on support of violent abuse.

- \* I should be able to use similar wording in filings for the State Bar. A complaint titled "Pro-Child Abuse Attorney" will probably be read.

There is a chance that immediate, albeit temporary, State Bar action related to Mr. Eassa's conduct and mental decline will be taken pending a review of allegations. The allegations will, after all, prove to be both serious and demonstrably true.

- \* There will need to be discussion with parties in Mr. Eassa's personal circle who might be able to identify women and children that he has harmed.

- \* I already have court runners who will be able to pull Robert Eassa's past cases. I've done this part before. But it might take weeks or months to set up calls with parties on both sides in each of his cases regarding past signs of Mr. Eassa's fixation on adult-child violence and sexual activities.

A fixation, it should be noted, that is not only in writing but that Robert Eassa is unable to stop talking about. A reasonable person will agree that Mr. Eassa is Looney Tunes.

All of this is in addition to a document set related to Chevron and Duane Morris that I should be focused on. However, Robert Eassa will find that I'm a diligent worker.

I trust that Mr. Eassa has reviewed my resume. However, the degree of mental decline that he's exhibited is such that it might not have occurred to him to do so.

This person is undoubtedly still a force to be reckoned with in Court per se due to the fact that he'd be able to operate in that venue based on reflex.



In other contexts, though, Robert Eassa is done. I suspect that his peers and even his family members will be less than enthusiastic if they're called upon to offer support.

This is about spittle from somebody in mental decline who is unable to stop talking, and I do mean a dozen times, about his support for child abuse and adult-child sexual activities.

In all seriousness, it's unlikely that Mr. Eassa's professional and personal associates and family members will seek to have the unpleasantness that is his child abuse position smear off on them.

It won't look good in Church or in other venues. However, as I've said, I'm a helpful person. I'll try to be helpful in that context.

Regards, Robert (the Old Coder)



---

### **Part 3. Follow-up letter for Robert Eassa.**

Mr. Eassa:

Further comments by you are likely simply to be added to a document that will now be circulated.

I should add, circulated widely and to be followed by service of a printed demand letter on Duane Morris leadership that will put individuals in the awkward position of needing to take a position on your conduct.

Failure of Duane Morris leadership to respond will justify State Bar review of their own conduct in this context. Whether or not the State Bar takes action, it is, indeed, likely to be awkward, Robert.

I'm referring above to the letter that was sent at 01:59pm PT. The "Subject" line reads "Disgusting child abuse figure Robert Eassa".

I might confirm receipt of future comments by you due to a desire to be polite. However, detailed responses are probably going to go primarily to your peers and, for legitimate and reasonable purposes that are consistent with U.S. laws, to your personal associates.

To the website that is now past due as well. From there, into Google.

I have your measure. You're in mental decline and have thrown away the options that you had. You've set yourself up for a fall and you'll find that I'm a cooperative type.

I've explained how I plan to proceed. You've declined to respond in anything that resembles an intelligible or even sane manner. I owe you little more than the preceding.

Yours truly, Robert (the Old Coder)

---

End of document.